



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

gi

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/773,785

02/06/2004

Eric Finzi

6863-67727

7913

24197

7590

09/25/2006

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
121 SW SALMON STREET
SUITE 1600
PORTLAND, OR 97204

EXAMINER

FORD, VANESSA L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1645

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/773,785

Applicant(s)

FINZI, ERIC

Examiner

Vanessa L. Ford

Art Unit

1645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/19/06, 2/6/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification Objection

1. The use of trademarks has been noted in this application. See for example, Wellbutrin and well as other medications (see page 7). It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. Applicant is asked to review the specification for various trademarks and appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-7, 16-18 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 USC 112 second paragraph for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 17 and 20 recite "...physical treatment for depression...". What constitutes physical treatment for depression? There is no disclosure in the instant specification that defines physical treatment for depression. What kind of physical treatment is given to the patient? Clarification and/or correction is required.

3. Claims 6, 7, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 USC 112 second paragraph for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 8 recite "...neurotoxin...". Claims 6, 7, 14 and 15 recite "botulinum toxin type A". There is no antecedent basis for botulinum toxin type A in claims 1 and 8. Clarification and/or correction is required.

4. Claims 1-7, 16-18 and 20-21 are rejected under 35 USC 112 second paragraph for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 recite "...affecting the ability of the subject to frown...". How is the patient's ability affected? Is ability to frown decreased? Clarification and/or correction is required. It should be noted that a subject or patient can frown without being depressed.

5. Claim 8-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 USC 112 second paragraph for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 8 recite "...affecting the ability of the subject to scowl or appear sad...". How is the patient's ability affected? Is the ability to scowl or appear sad decreased? It should be noted that a patient could be sad or scowl without being depressed. Clarification and/or correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

It should be noted that the Examiner is interpreting "downturned mouth" or "sad mouth" as frowning, scowl or the appearance of the patient to be sad.

6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Murry et al (*Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*, vol. 120, March 1994) in view of Binder (*U.S. Patent No. 5,714, 468 published February 3, 1998*) and further in view of Carruthers et al (*U.S. Patent No. 6,358, 917 B1, published March 19, 2002*).

The claims are directed to a method of treating depression in a subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a neurotoxin to a facial muscle to cause paralysis of the facial muscle, thereby affecting the ability of the subject to frown and treating depression in the subject.

Murry et al teach a method of treating depression in spasmodic dysphonia patients who suffered from depression and anxiety (see the Abstract). Murry et al teach that depression and anxiety levels were significantly reduced approximately 1 week after patients were injected with botulinum toxin (see the Abstract). Murry et al teach that spasmodic dystonia has been reported to be highly linked with emotional trauma (page 310).

Murry et al do not teach administering botulinum toxin to a facial muscle such as a frontalis muscle, an orbicularis oculi muscle, procerus muscle, a corrugator supercilli muscle or depressor anguli oris muscle.

Binder teaches that botulinum toxin can be administered to various muscles in the face and head including the frontalis, orbicularis oculi, procerus muscle, a corrugator supercilli and depressor anguli oris (see columns 6-7 and figure 1). Binder teaches that headaches may be associated with depression (column 1). Binder teaches that botulinum toxin when administered to patients with headaches is effective in reducing pain and symptoms associated with or the onset of headaches in mammals (see the Abstract). Binder teaches that botulinum toxin can be administered in a dose of up to about 1,000 units although individual dosages of about 15-30 units are preferred (columns 5-6). Binder teaches that botulinum toxin injection can be effective up to about 3 to 6 months (column 7). Therefore the combination of prior art references teach the claim limitation "...further comprising administering an additional dose of 30-50 unit equivalents of botulinum A to the facial muscle after about two to six months".

Murry et al and Binder do not teach the claim limitation "...affecting the ability of the subject to frown".

Carruthers et al teach that botulinum toxin can be used to cause paralysis to a depressor anguli oris in a patient to alleviate downturn at the corners of a patient's mouth (see the Abstract). Carruthers et al teach that this condition is called "sad mouth" (column 2).

It would be *prima facie* obvious at the time the invention was made to use botulinum toxin to treat patients suffering from depression as well as affecting the ability of the patient to frown or scowl because Murry et al teach that administering botulinum toxin to spasmodic dysphonia patients experiencing depression significantly reduced the levels of depression and anxiety in these patients and Carruthers et al teach that botulinum toxin can be used to cause paralysis to a depressor anguli oris in a patient to alleviate downturn at the corners of a patient's mouth. Based on the teachings of the combined prior art references, it would be expected barring evidence to the contrary, that the administration of botulinum toxin to patients suffering from depression would be effective way to treat depression in these patients.

7. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Jahanshahi et al (*Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry* (1992, 55:229-231) in view of Binder (*U.S. Patent No. 5,714, 468 published February 3, 1998*) and further in view of Carruthers et al (*U.S. Patent No. 6,358, 917 B1, published March 19, 2002*).

The claims are directed to a method of treating depression in subject comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a neurotoxin to a facial muscle to cause paralysis of the facial muscle, thereby affecting the ability of the subject to frown and treating depression in the subject.

Jahanshahi et al teach that administration of botulinum toxin to patients with torticollis who also suffer from depression. Jahanshahi et al teach that botulinum toxin

Art Unit: 1645

reduced depression but there was no significant improvement in body concept and self-esteem (see the Abstract). Jahanshahi et al suggest that use of other concepts or techniques may be help with direct management of psychological aspects, body concept and low self-esteem (page 231).

Jahanshahi et al do not teach administering botulinum toxin to a facial muscle such as a frontalis muscle, an orbicularis oculi muscle, procerus muscle, a corrugator supercilli muscle or depressor anguli oris muscle.

Binder teaches that botulinum toxin can be administered to various muscles in the face and head including the frontalis, orbicularis oculi, procerus muscle, a corrugator supercilli and depressor anguli oris (see columns 6-7 and figure 1). Binder teaches that headaches may be associated with depression (column 1). Binder teaches that botulinum toxin when administered to patients with headaches is effective in reducing pain and symptoms associated with or the onset of headaches in mammals (see the Abstract). Binder teaches that botulinum toxin can be administered in a dose of up to about 1,000 units although individual dosages of about 15-30 units are preferred (columns 5-6). Binder teaches that botulinum toxin injection be effective up to about 3 to 6 months (column 7). Therefore the combination of prior art references teach the claim limitation "...further comprising administering an additional dose of 30-50 unit equivalents of botulinum A to the facial muscle after about two to six months".

Jahanshahi et al and Binder do not teach claim limitation "... affecting the ability of the subject to frown".

Carruthers et al teach that botulinum toxin can be used to cause paralysis to a depressor anguli oris in a patient to alleviate downturn at the corners of a patient's mouth (see the Abstract). Carruthers et al teach that this condition is called "sad mouth" column 2).

It would be *prima facie* obvious at the time the invention was made to administer botulinum toxin to patients suffering from depression as well as affecting the ability of the patient to frown or scowl because Jahanshahi et al teach that administering botulinum toxin to torticollis patients experiencing depression significantly reduced levels of depression and anxiety, Binder teaches that botulinum toxin can be effectively administered to facial muscles such as the frontalis, orbicularis oculi, procerus muscle, a corrugator supercilli and depressor anguli oris and Carruthers et al teach that botulinum toxin can be used to cause paralysis to a depressor anguli oris in a patient to alleviate downturn at the corners of a patient's mouth. Based on the teachings of the combined prior art references, it would be expected barring evidence to the contrary, that the administration of botulinum toxin to the facial muscles of patients suffering from depression would be an effective way to treat depression as well as anxiety in these patients.

8. Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Jahanshahi et al, Binder and Carruthers et al as applied to claims 1-15 above and further in view of Wagstaff et al (*Drugs* 2002;62(4):655-703)(Abstract only).

The claims are drawn to further comprising administering to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of an additional modality of treatment for depression.

The teaching of Jahanshahi et al, Binder and Carruthers et al have been described previously.

Jahanshahi et al and Binder do not teach an additional modality of treatment for depression.

Wagstaff et al teach that paroxetine is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) with antidepressant and anxiolytic activity (see the Abstract). Wagstaff et al teach that paroxetine is effective at treating depressive disorder (see the Abstract). Wagstaff et al teach that the common adverse effects with using paroxetine include headache (see the Abstract). Wagstaff et al teach that paroxetine is an important first-line option for treatment of major depressive disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, panic disorder, social anxiety disorder, general anxiety disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder (see the Abstract).

It would be *prima facie* obvious at the time the invention was made to use an additional modality of treatment for depression such as administration of SSRIs to patients suffering from depression because Jahanshahi et al suggest that use of other concepts may be helpful with direct management of psychological aspects such as body concept and low self-esteem. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to administer SSRIs to treat patients with torticollis who suffer from depression because Jahanshahi et al has demonstrated that these patients experience psychological aspects such as body concept and low self-esteem even after botulinum toxin

Art Unit: 1645

treatment. Therefore, one of skill in the art would reasonably conclude that the addition of a SSRI such as paroxetine would be effective at treating these patients since Wagstaff et al teach that paroxetine is effective in treating depressive disorders such as social anxiety disorder and general anxiety disorder. Based on the teachings of the combined prior art references, it would be expected barring evidence to the contrary, that the administration of botulinum toxin and a SSRI to patients suffering from depression would be effective in treating depression.

Status of Claims

9. No claims allowed.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanessa L. Ford whose telephone number is (571) 272-0857. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am- 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Albert Navarro can be reached on (571) 272-0861. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Vanessa L. Ford
Biotechnology Patent Examiner
September 14, 2006



NITA MINNIFIELD
PRIMARY EXAMINER